

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Police – Representation of Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128, Kadapa District, against the punishment of PPI for a period of three years with effect on future increments and pension – modified – Orders – Issued.

HOME (SERVICES-II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.

Dated: 7 - 7- 2011
Read the

following:-

1. Petition of Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128, Kadapa District.
2. Govt.Memo.No.6687/Ser.II/A1/2009, dated: 09-03-2009
3. From DGP Rc.No.927/Appeal-4/2009, dated: 09-06-2009.
4. From DGP Rc.No.927/Appeal-4/2009, dated: 19-03-2010.

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128 of Kadapa District, has requested the Government to give similar concession on par with his co-delinquent i.e Sri Nagasubanna ie the relief, which was modified the punishment of “PPI for a period of three years with effect”, to that of, “PPI for three years without effect” vide G.O.Ms.No.41, Home (Ser.II) Dept., dt.21-1-2009.

2. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, has reported that Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128, Kadapa District, was given a OEPR for the following delinquency:-

“Grave dereliction of duty and reprehensible misconduct by accepting illegal gratification of Rs.3,000/- from Sri S.Pala Kondrayudu, TDP contesting candidate of Rayachoty A/C leaving bendoubust duty at Chennamukkapalli (v) booth No.55 on 28-4-2004 and thereby violated Rule-3(1) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964”

3. The C.I Kadapa (Rural) was appointed as Inquiry Officer and conducted O.E. against the charged Officer and held the **charge as not proved**. Disagreeing with the findings of the Enquiry Officer, the disciplinary authority issued a **Dissent note** and called for his further representation. The charged officer submitted his further representation on 7-02-2006. The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa had disposed off OE by awarding a punishment of stoppage of (3) increments with cumulative effect and the suspension period spent by him from 08-05-2004 to 19-07-2004 was treated as “not on duty”, in their Procs.No.15/OEPR/04, dated: 09-12-2006. The appeal and revision petitions were considered and rejected by the concerned authorities. The individual has exhausted the channel of appeal / revision prescribed in the APCS(CC&A) Rules.

4. The Director General of Police, AP, Hyderabad, has informed that the delinquency committed by the petitioner Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128, same as to that committed by Sri Nagasubbanna, ARHC 1055 and there is no difference between these cases. Hence the DGP, AP, Hyderabad has proposed the consideration given to Sri Nagasubbanna, ARHC 1055, in G.O.Ms.No.41, Home(Ser.II) Department, dt.22-1-2009 can be extended to the petitioner ie. Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128.

5. After careful examination of the entire matter and with the records made available, Government hereby consider the request of Sri P.Ramachandra Reddy, ARPC.128, Kadapa District, and modify the punishment of “PPI for three years with effect on future increments and pension” to that of “PPI for three years without effect on future increments and pension”.

6. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary follow up action in the matter.

7. The original O.E. records, received in the reference 3rd read above, are herewith returned to the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, and he is requested to acknowledge the receipt of the same.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

P. GAUTAM KUMAR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad (with records)
The individual concerned through D.G.P., Hyderabad
Copy to:
The Accountant General of AP, Hyderabad.
The Director of Treasuries & Accounts, A.P., Hyderabad.
The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa
SF/SC

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER